arXiv:1207.5197v1 [math-ph] 22 Jul 2012

Harper operators, Fermi curves, and Picard–Fuchs equations

Dan Li *

Abstract

This is a continuation of the work on the spectral problem of Harper operators. We continue to discuss the local monodromy representation of the Fermi curve using the Picard-Lefschetz formula. The density of states over approximating components of the Fermi curve satisfies a Picard-Fuchs equation. A mirror map is derived based on the Picard-Fuchs equation, which gives a q-expansion of the energy level for the electronic model.

1 Introduction

In [11] we studied the spectral theory of Harper operators with irrational parameters as a discretized model of magnetic Laplacian. On an algebro-geometric level, the compactification of its associated Bloch variety, which describes the complex energy-crystal momentum dispersion relation, is an ind-pro-variety with properties analogous to a totally disconnected space. This corresponds to the classical result that the Harper operator's spectrum is a Cantor set of zero Lebesgue measure. Further, we expressed the density of states of the electronic system as a period integral over Fermi curves, which we explicitly computed as elliptic integrals and in terms of the Landen transformation.

In this paper, we continue the investigation of the algebro-geometric properties of Harper operators, by focusing on the monodromy of the Fermi

---

*Department of Mathematics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306.
E-mail: dli@math.fsu.edu

curves. The local monodromy can be computed using the Picard-Lefschetz formula, while the global monodromy was already discussed in [5]. The density of states also has a local monodromy, which leads us to consider the ratio of two linearly independent solutions of the Picard-Fuchs equation. We prove that the energy level satisfies a Schwarzian equation with respect to the complex structure modulus, which is very similar to the form of the mirror map for elliptic curves. We also derive a q-expansion for the energy level.

1.1 Harper operator

In order to fix the notations, we briefly recall the discretized spectral problem of the Harper operator. As a discretized magnetic Laplacian, the Harper operator H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} H\psi(m,n) = \; & e^{-2\pi i\alpha n}\psi(m+1,n) + e^{2\pi i\alpha n}\psi(m-1,n) + \\ & e^{-2\pi i\beta m}\psi(m,n+1) + e^{2\pi i\beta m}\psi(m,n-1) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the two unitary operators

$$U\psi(m,n) := e^{-2\pi i\alpha n}\psi(m+1,n) \quad \text{and} \quad V\psi(m,n) := e^{-2\pi i\beta m}\psi(m,n+1)$$

are the so-called magnetic translation operators with phases α and β respectively, so that the Harper operator is of the form $H = U + U^* + V + V^*$. We are mostly interested in the cases when α and β are irrational real numbers. In the theory of noncommutative geometry, the magnetic translation operators U, V give rise to a noncommutative torus A_θ with $\theta = \alpha - \beta$.

We consider the spectral problem of the Harper operator in the algebro-geometric setting, for distinct primes a and b, define the Bloch variety associated to the Harper operator (1) as

$$\begin{aligned} B := \; & \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C} \mid H\psi = \lambda\psi, \\ & \psi(m+a,n) = \xi_1\psi(m,n),\, \psi(m,n+b) = \xi_2\psi(m,n)\} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Due to the irrationality of the magnetic fluxes α and β, the locus determined by (2) consist of a countable collection of algebraic varieties. More precisely, the Bloch variety in this case is an inductive limit of finite dimensional algebraic varieties, we call it the Bloch ind-variety.

Lemma 1.1. *[11] The Bloch variety* (2) *defined by the spectral problem of the Harper operator* (1) *is an affine ind-variety that can be written as* $B = \cup_{k,\ell\in\mathbb{Z}} B_{k,\ell}$, *where*

$$B_{k,\ell} = \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C} \,|\, P_{k,\ell}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \lambda) = 0\}, \tag{3}$$

for polynomials

$$P_{k,\ell}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \lambda) = \det(M^{(k,\ell)} - \lambda I), \tag{4}$$

with the ab by ab matrix $M^{(k,\ell)}$ *having entries*

$$\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \textit{if } m' = m, n' = n \\
e^{-2\pi i\alpha(n+\ell b)} & \textit{if } m' = m+1, n' = n \\
e^{2\pi i\alpha(n+\ell b)} & \textit{if } m' = m-1, n' = n \\
e^{-2\pi i\beta(m+ka)} & \textit{if } m' = m, n' = n+1 \\
e^{2\pi i\beta(m+ka)} & \textit{if } m' = m, n' = n-1 \\
-\xi_1 & \textit{if } m = 1, m' = a, n' = n \\
-\xi_1^{-1} & \textit{if } m = a, m' = 1, n' = n \\
-\xi_2 & \textit{if } m = m', n = 1, n' = b \\
-\xi_2^{-1} & \textit{if } m = m', n = b, n' = 1 \\
0 & \textit{otherwise}
\end{array} \tag{5}$$

After the change of coordinates by Fourier transform, the Bloch ind-variety can be rewritten as $B = \bigcup_{k,\ell,m,n} B_{k,\ell,m,n}$ with nonsingular subvarieties as components:

$$B_{k,\ell,m,n} := \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C} \mid N^{k,\ell}_{m,n}(\xi_1, \xi_2) - \lambda = 0\} \tag{6}$$

where $(k, \ell, m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_a \times \mathbb{Z}_b$ and

$$N^{k,\ell}_{m,n}(\xi_1, \xi_2) := e^{2\pi i\alpha(n+\ell b)}\xi_1 + e^{-2\pi i\alpha(n+\ell b)}\xi_1^{-1} + e^{2\pi i\beta(m+ka)}\xi_2 + e^{-2\pi i\beta(m+ka)}\xi_2^{-1} \tag{7}$$

Hence it is convenient to work on the approximating components of the Bloch ind-variety.

1.2 Mirror map for elliptic curves

In this subsection, we review the definition of mirror maps for elliptic curves. In particular, we explain the concepts of Picard-Fuchs equation and the related Schwarzian equation by the j-invariant, whose mirror map is the power series expansion of j in terms of $\bar{\mathfrak{q}} = e^{2\pi i\tau}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{H}/SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. More information about mirror symmetry and algebraic geometry, especially its relation with Picard-Fuchs equations can be found in [4], [9], [12].

Let X be a Calabi-Yau three-fold and X^* its mirror image, the general setting is that the B-model correlation function $K_{\tau\tau\tau}$ over X^* is depending on the complex structure modulus τ of X^* and such dependence is encoded in a Picard-Fuchs equation. In general, a differential equation is called of Picard-Fuchs type if all points on $\mathbb{P}^1$ are regular or a regular singularity and its monodromy group is contained in $SL(n, \bar{\mathbb{Q}})$, where n is the order of the equation and $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$.

On the other hand, the Yukawa coupling over X, i.e. the A-model correlation function, K_{JJJ} has a formal expansion:

$$K_{JJJ} \approx \int_X J \wedge J \wedge J + \Sigma_d \frac{n_d q^d}{1-q^d} d^3. \tag{8}$$

where n_d is the counting number of rational curves of degree d on X, or the instanton numbers for physicists. If t is the complexified Kähler class of X, whose imaginary part cubed $(\operatorname{Im} t)^3$ is proportional to the volume of X, then $q = e^{2\pi i t}$ as in the above expansion.

In superstring theory, mirror symmetry simply states that $K_{\tau\tau\tau} = K_{JJJ}$. In order to identify the mirror symmetry, one has to determine the mirror map between local coordinates of the complex structure moduli space and the Kähler structure moduli space. In particular, the mirror symmetry for elliptic curves interchanges the complex structure modulus τ of X^* with the Kähler structure modulus t of X, so that the q-expansion in the B-model (i.e. a complex torus) is the same as a formal expansion in terms of $\bar{\mathfrak{q}} = e^{2\pi i\tau}$.

Recall that the Schwarzian derivative of a function $f(z)$ is defined by

$$(Sf)(z) = \{f, z\} = \frac{f'''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)^2 \tag{9}$$

Let $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ be two linearly independent holomorphic solutions of

$$\frac{d^2 f}{dz^2} + Q(z)f(z) = 0. \tag{10}$$

Then the ratio $g(z) = f_1(z)/f_2(z)$ satisfies $(Sg)(z) = 2Q(z)$ over the domain on which $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ are defined. The Schwarzian derivative has a inversion formula,

$$\{w, v\} = -\left(\frac{dw}{dv}\right)^2 \{v, w\}. \tag{11}$$

Let us consider a Weierstrass family of elliptic curves $y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3$ embedded in $\mathbb{P}^2$, where $j = g_2^3/(g_2^3 - 27g_3^2)$ is the j-invariant. The corresponding Picard-Fuchs equation is given by

$$\frac{d^2\pi}{dj^2} + \frac{1}{j}\frac{d\pi}{dj} + \frac{31j - 4}{144j^2(1-j)^2}\pi = 0. \tag{12}$$

By a change of variable $\pi = uv$, it is easy to get its Q-form:

$$\frac{d^2u}{dj^2} + \left[\frac{3}{16(1-j)^2} + \frac{2}{9j^2} + \frac{23}{144j(1-j)}\right]u = 0. \tag{13}$$

Hence the ratio of two period solutions $\tau = \pi_2/\pi_1 = u_2/u_1$ satisfies the Schwarzian equation

$$\{\tau, j\} = \frac{3}{8(1-j)^2} + \frac{4}{9j^2} + \frac{23}{72j(1-j)}. \tag{14}$$

Change the variable $\xi = 1/j$ in the Picard-Fuchs equation (12) to look into its behavior around $j = \infty$, rewrite it as

$$\frac{d^2y}{d\xi^2} + \frac{1}{\xi}\frac{dy}{d\xi} + \frac{31\xi - 4\xi^2}{144\xi^2(1-\xi)^2}y = 0. \tag{15}$$

Denote the holomorphic functions near $\xi = 0$ (i.e. $j = \infty$) by

$$P = 1, \quad Q = \frac{31\xi - 4\xi^2}{144(1-\xi)^2},$$

then the above equation is of the form

$$\frac{d^2y}{d\xi^2} + \frac{P}{\xi}\frac{dy}{d\xi} + \frac{Q}{\xi^2}y = 0,$$

meaning the Fuchsian equation (12) has a regular singularity at $j = \infty$.

One computes the indicial equation, which is

$$r(r-1) + P(0)r + Q(0) = r^2,$$

it has a double root at $r = 0$. Then the two dimensional space of solution is spanned by $y_1(\xi)$, which is holomorphic near $\xi = 0$, and $y_2(\xi) = y_1 ln\,\xi + \rho(\xi)$ for some holomorphic function $\rho(\xi)$.

Thus the solution to the Schwarzian equation (14) has the form

$$t(\xi) = \frac{y_2(\xi)}{y_1(\xi)} = \frac{y_1(\xi) ln\,\xi + \rho(\xi)}{y_1(\xi)} = ln\,(\xi) + \frac{\rho}{y_1}, \tag{16}$$

in other words, the corresponding q has the form

$$q(\xi) = e^t = \xi \cdot e^{\rho/y_1} \tag{17}$$

This defines an invertible analytic map from a disk $|\xi| < S$ to some disk $|q| < R$ sending 0 to 0.

One can apply the Frobenius method to find out y_1, y_2 and then get the q-expansion

$$\xi(q) = \frac{1}{j(q)} = 1 - 744q^2 + 356652q^3 - 140361152q^4 + \cdots \tag{18}$$

Then $j = j(q)$ (or its inverse) is the mirror map and its q-expansion is the well-known power series by the monstrous moonshine:

$$j(q) = \frac{1}{q} + 744 + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + 864299970q^3 + \cdots$$

2 Monodromy of Fermi Curves

In this section, we recall the definition of the algebraic Fermi curve and discuss its monodromy. The local monodromy representation will be derived from the Picard-Lefschetz formula and the global monodromy group is already observed in [5].

We consider the projection $\pi : B \to \mathbb{C}$; $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \lambda) \mapsto \lambda$, recall that affine Fermi curves are defined by $F_\lambda(\mathbb{C}) := \pi^{-1}(\lambda)$, then each Fermi curve $F_\lambda = \bigcup_{k,\ell,m,n} F_\lambda^{k,\ell,m,n}$ is an ind-variety with components

$$F_\lambda^{k,\ell,m,n} = \{(\xi_1, \xi_2) | N_{m,n}^{k,\ell}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \lambda\} \tag{19}$$

For each 4-tuple (k, ℓ, m, n), there exist singular fibers at $\lambda = 0, 4, -4$. $F_0^{k,\ell,m,n}$ has two components

$$\begin{array}{l} \{(\xi_1, \xi_2) | e^{2\pi i\alpha(n+\ell b)}\xi_1 + e^{2\pi i\beta(m+ka)}\xi_2 = 0\} \\ \{(\xi_1, \xi_2) | e^{2\pi i\alpha(n+\ell b)}\xi_1 + e^{-2\pi i\beta(m+ka)}\xi_2^{-1} = 0\} \end{array} \tag{20}$$

$F_4^{k,\ell,m,n}$ is singular only at $(e^{-2\pi i\alpha(n+\ell b)}, e^{-2\pi i\beta(m+ka)})$, similarly $F_{-4}^{k,\ell,m,n}$ is singular only at $(-e^{-2\pi i\alpha(n+\ell b)}, -e^{-2\pi i\beta(m+ka)})$ and they are irreducible curves. In addition, these singularities are all ordinary double points.

As in Lemma 5.1 of [5], the projective closure of each Fermi curve component $F_\lambda^{k,\ell,m,n}$ in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, call it $\bar{F}_\lambda^{k,\ell,m,n}$, is an elliptic curve for a generic λ, and the complement $\bar{F}_\lambda^{k,\ell,m,n} \setminus F_\lambda^{k,\ell,m,n}$ is a divisor of type $(2,2)$. Then we have an elliptic fibration of the projective closure of each $B_{k,\ell,m,n}$, call it $\bar{B}_{k,\ell,m,n}$,

$$\bar{\pi} : \bar{B}_{k,\ell,m,n} \to \mathbb{P}^1, \quad \bar{\pi}^{-1}(\lambda) = \bar{F}_\lambda^{k,\ell,m,n} \tag{21}$$

By the same statement as in [5], the above fibration gives rise to a stable family of elliptic curves with four exceptional fibers, at $\lambda = \pm 4$ type I_1, at $\lambda = 0$ type I_2 and at $\lambda = \infty$ type I_8, whose global monodromy group is $\Gamma_0(8) \cap \Gamma_0^0(4)$. The details can be found in [1].

2.1 Local monodromy and Picard–Lefschetz transformations

The local monodromy of the family of elliptic curves has been discussed in Proposition 8.1 of [5]. Here we have a similar result for the family of Fermi curve components.

Let δ be a vanishing cycle, the Picard-Lefschetz formula is given by

$$T(x) = x - (x \cdot \delta)\delta \tag{22}$$

for an arbitrary homology cycle x and $x \cdot \delta$ is the intersection number between cycles with chosen orientation, cf. [13].

Lemma 2.1. *The local monodromies around* $\lambda = 4, 0, -4$ *are given by the Picard-Lefschetz transformations* T_4, T_0, T_{-4}*,*

$$T_4(\gamma) = \gamma + \delta_1, \quad T_{-4}(\gamma) = \gamma - \delta_2, \quad T_0(\gamma) = \gamma - \delta_1 + \delta_2, \tag{23}$$

where δ_1, δ_2 *are vanishing cycles and* γ *is an arbitrary homology cycle.*

Proof. First change the variables by $\xi = e^{2\pi i\alpha(n+\ell b)}\xi_1$ and $\eta = e^{2\pi i\beta(m+ka)}\xi_2$. Then the Fermi curve components become

$$F_\lambda^{k,\ell,m,n} = \{(e^{-2\pi i\alpha(n+\ell b)}\xi, e^{-2\pi i\beta(m+ka)}\eta) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^* | \xi + \xi^{-1} + \eta + \eta^{-1} = \lambda\} \tag{24}$$

Rewrite the above curve as $\xi\eta^2 + (\xi^2 - \lambda\xi + 1)\eta + \xi = 0$, whose discriminant is $\Delta = (\xi^2 - \lambda\xi + 1)^2 - 4\xi^2$. Set $\Delta = 0$, there exist four branch points $\{\frac{(\lambda+2)\pm\sqrt{\lambda^2+4\lambda}}{2}, \frac{(\lambda-2)\pm\sqrt{\lambda^2-4\lambda}}{2}\}$ on the ξ-plane.

When λ tends to 4, $\frac{(\lambda-2)\pm\sqrt{\lambda^2-4\lambda}}{2}$ shrink to be an ordinary double point at $\xi = 1$, which corresponds to $(\xi_1, \xi_2) = (e^{-2\pi i\alpha(n+\ell b)}, e^{-2\pi i\beta(m+ka)})$, the cycle around $\frac{(\lambda-2)\pm\sqrt{\lambda^2-4\lambda}}{2}$ is a vanishing cycle, call it δ_1. Assume γ is a cycle such that $\delta_1 \cdot \gamma = 1$, we rotate δ_1 once and interchange the points $\frac{(\lambda-2)+\sqrt{\lambda^2-4\lambda}}{2} \longleftrightarrow \frac{(\lambda-2)-\sqrt{\lambda^2-4\lambda}}{2}$, by the Picard-Lefschetz transformation $T_4(\gamma) = \gamma + \delta_1$.

When λ tends to -4, $\frac{(\lambda+2)\pm\sqrt{\lambda^2+4\lambda}}{2}$ shrink to be an ordinary double point at $\xi = -1$, which corresponds to $(\xi_1, \xi_2) = (-e^{-2\pi i\alpha(n+\ell b)}, -e^{-2\pi i\beta(m+ka)})$, the cycle around $\frac{(\lambda+2)\pm\sqrt{\lambda^2+4\lambda}}{2}$ is a vanishing cycle, call it δ_2. γ is the same cycle as above, so $\delta_2 \cdot \gamma = -1$, we rotate δ_2 once and interchange the points $\frac{(\lambda+2)+\sqrt{\lambda^2+4\lambda}}{2} \longleftrightarrow \frac{(\lambda+2)-\sqrt{\lambda^2+4\lambda}}{2}$, by the Picard-Lefschetz transformation $T_{-4}(\gamma) = \gamma - \delta_2$.

When λ tends to 0, $\frac{(\lambda+2)\pm\sqrt{\lambda^2+4\lambda}}{2}$ shrink to be one ordinary double point at $\xi = 1$ corresponding to $(\xi_1, \xi_2) = (e^{-2\pi i\alpha(n+\ell b)}, -e^{-2\pi i\beta(m+ka)})$ and at the same time $\frac{(\lambda-2)\pm\sqrt{\lambda^2-4\lambda}}{2}$ shrink to be the other ordinary double point at $\xi = -1$ corresponding to $(\xi_1, \xi_2) = (-e^{-2\pi i\alpha(n+\ell b)}, e^{-2\pi i\beta(m+ka)})$. The cycles $\delta_1, \delta_2, \gamma$ are the same as above, so by the Picard-Lefschetz transformation $T_0(\gamma) = \gamma - \delta_1 + \delta_2$. □

3 Picard-Fuchs equation

One of the main results in [11] was that we identified the density of states on each component of the Fermi curve with a period integral independent of the labeling. In this section, we will give the Picard-Fuchs equation of the density of states, and use the Frobenius method to derive a q-expansion of the energy level.

Recall that the density of states (DOS) on each component of the Fermi curve $F_\lambda^{k,\ell,m,n}$ is of the form

$$DOS = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 ab}(1+k)K(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 ab}K(\frac{2\sqrt{k}}{1+k}) \tag{25}$$

where $k = \frac{4-E}{4+E}$ is the elliptic modulus. To avoid confusion with the elliptic modular lambda function, we write the energy level as $E = |\lambda|$ instead of λ

as in the previous section. The right hand side of the above equation is the ascending Landen transformation, which changes the period from τ to $\tau/2$.

The complete elliptic integral of the first kind $K(k)$ satisfies a second order differential equation

$$k(1-k^2)\frac{d^2K}{dk^2} + (1-3k^2)\frac{dK}{dk} - kK = 0 \tag{26}$$

with regular singularities at $k = -1, 0, 1, \infty$. In fact, if we change the variable k by the elliptic modular lambda function $\lambda(\tau) = k^2$ in K, then the above differential equation is equivalent to

$$\lambda(1-\lambda)\frac{d^2K}{d\lambda^2} + (1-2\lambda)\frac{dK}{d\lambda} - \frac{K}{4} = 0 \tag{27}$$

which is the Picard-Fuchs equation of the Legendre family $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$ of elliptic curves.

If we define

$$D(k) = 2\pi^2 ab\ DOS = (1+k)K(k) \tag{28}$$

then we have the following result.

Proposition 3.1. *The density of states, or equivalently $D(k)$, satisfies a second order differential equation as follows*

$$k(1-k)(1+k)^2\frac{d^2D}{dk^2} + (1-2k-k^2)(1+k)\frac{dD}{dk} + (k-1)D = 0. \tag{29}$$

We call (29) *the Picard-Fuchs equation of the density of states.*

Proof. Recall that the relation between $K(k)$ and the complete elliptic integral of the second kind $E(k)$ is the following.

$$\frac{dK}{dk} = \frac{E(k)}{k(1-k^2)} - \frac{K(k)}{k}, \quad \frac{dE}{dk} = \frac{E(k)-K(k)}{k} \tag{30}$$

We substitute and compute the first derivative of $D(k)$:

$$\frac{dD}{dk} = (1+k)\frac{dK}{dk} + K(k) = \frac{E(k)}{k(1-k)} - \frac{K(k)}{k} \tag{31}$$

In other words,

$$k(1-k)\frac{dD}{dk} = E(k) + (k-1)K(k) \tag{32}$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dk}[k(1-k)\frac{dD}{dk}] = \frac{dE}{dk} + (k-1)\frac{dK}{dk} + K(k) \tag{33}$$

$$k(1-k)\frac{d^2D}{dk^2} + (1-2k)\frac{dD}{dk} = \frac{E-K}{k} + (k-1)(\frac{E}{k(1-k^2)} - \frac{K}{k}) + K = \frac{E}{1+k} \tag{34}$$

Here we use (32) again to cancel $E(k)$, so

$$k(1-k)\frac{d^2D}{dk^2} + (1-2k)\frac{dD}{dk} - \frac{k(1-k)}{1+k}\frac{dD}{dk} + \frac{k-1}{(1+k)^2}D = 0 \tag{35}$$

□

3.1 Picard–Fuchs equation and Picard–Lefschetz formula

Instead of $\frac{d}{dk}$, some authors prefer to use $\Theta = k\frac{d}{dk}$. With this notation, we can reformulate the Picard-Fuchs equation for the density of states in the following way.

Corollary 3.2. *The Picard-Fuchs equation gives rise to a differential operator in terms of* Θ *as*

$$\Theta^2 + \frac{2k}{k^2-1}\Theta - \frac{k}{(1+k)^2} \tag{36}$$

Since the monodromy representation for solutions of the Picard-Fuchs equation is the same as the geometric monodromy representation, we consider the local monodromy of $D(k) = K(2\sqrt{k}/(1+k))$, i.e. the monodromy of

$$y^2 = (t^2-1)(\frac{4k}{(1+k)^2}t^2 - 1) \tag{37}$$

The corresponding canonical holomorphic form is

$$\omega = \frac{dt}{y} = \frac{dt}{\sqrt{(t^2-1)(\frac{4k}{(1+k)^2}t^2-1)}} = \frac{ds}{\sqrt{(s^2-1)(s^2-\frac{4k}{(1+k)^2})}} \tag{38}$$

where $s = -1/t$.

Lemma 3.3. *The local monodromies around* $k = 0, 1$ *are given by the Picard-Lefschetz transformations* S_0, S_1*,*

$$S_0(\gamma) = \gamma + \delta_1, \quad S_1(\gamma) = \gamma + 2\delta_2 - 2\delta_3, \tag{39}$$

Proof. If k approaches 0, then $\pm 2\sqrt{k}/(1+k)$ shrink to 0, which gives an ordinary double point at $s = 0$. So the cycle around $\pm 2\sqrt{k}/(1+k)$ is a vanishing cycle, call it δ_1. Let γ be a cycle intersects with δ_1 so that $\delta_1 \cdot \gamma = 1$. Hence by the Picard-Lefschetz formula $S_0(\gamma) = \gamma + \delta_1$.

If k approaches 1, then $\pm 2\sqrt{k}/(1+k)$ go to ± 1, which gives two ordinary double points at $s = \pm 1$. So the cycles around $2\sqrt{k}/(1+k),\ 1$ and $-2\sqrt{k}/(1+k),\ -1$ are vanishing cycles, call them $\delta_2,\ \delta_3$, let γ be a cycle intersect with δ_2 and δ_3 such that $\delta_2 \cdot \gamma = 1$ and $\delta_3 \cdot \gamma = -1$. Similarly, by the Picard-Lefschetz formula $S_1(\gamma) = \gamma + 2\delta_2 - 2\delta_3$. ☐

By the relation $k = \frac{4-E}{4+E}$, if $E \to 4$, or equivalently $\lambda \to \pm 4$, then $k \to 0$, and this gives an ordinary double point as in section [2]; if $E \to 0$, or equivalently $\lambda \to 0$, then $k \to 1$, and this also gives rise to two ordinary double points as before.

Notice that, in the elliptic curve model of two dimensional Ising model, the point $k = 1$ corresponds to the critical temperature of the Ising model.

3.2 The Q-form and the Schwarzian equation

Monodromy of the Picard-Fuchs equation of density of states means that if we go around some singularity then the period solutions (density of states) will change according to the monodromy representation, which forces us to consider the ratio of the period solutions modulo the monodromy group as in the theory of elliptic curves. In the following we change the Picard-Fuchs equation (29) into its Q-form and consider the related Schwarzian equation.

Lemma 3.4. *By change of variable* $D = UV$*, the Picard-Fuchs equation is equivalent to*

$$\frac{d^2U}{dk^2} + \frac{(1+k^2)^2}{4k^2(1-k^2)^2}U = 0 \tag{40}$$

the corresponding differential operator is

$$\Theta^2 + \frac{k^2}{(1-k^2)^2} \tag{41}$$

Proof. Based on (29), first define V such that

$$\frac{d}{dk}\ln V = -\frac{1-2k-k^2}{2k(1-k^2)}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad V' = \frac{k^2+2k-1}{2k(1-k^2)}V \tag{42}$$

and the second derivative is

$$V'' = \frac{7k^2+2k-3}{2k(1-k^2)}V' + \frac{V}{k(1-k)} \tag{43}$$

Then by substituting into the Picard-Fuchs equation and canceling out V and the middle term, it is easy to get the Q-from, where

$$Q(k) = \frac{(1+k^2)^2}{4k^2(1-k^2)^2} \tag{44}$$

□

Proposition 3.5. *The energy level E satisfies a Schwarzian equation:*

$$\{E,\tau\} = -\frac{k'^2(1+k^2)^2}{2k^2(1-k^2)^2} \tag{45}$$

Proof. Let $D_1(k), D_2(k)$ be two linearly independent period solutions of the Picard-Fuchs equation (29), and let $U_1(k), U_2(k)$ be the corresponding solutions of the Q-from (40), define $r = D_2/D_1 = U_2/U_1$, then r satisfies the Schwarzian equation

$$\{r,k\} = \frac{(1+k^2)^2}{2k^2(1-k^2)^2} \tag{46}$$

With $D(k) = K(2\sqrt{k}/1+k)$, the complementary modulus is given by the descending Landen transformation

$$k' = \sqrt{1-\frac{4k}{(1+k)^2}} = \frac{1-k}{1+k}$$

then we can choose r ($i = \sqrt{-1}$ is added for convenience) as

$$r = iK(\frac{1-k}{1+k})/K(\frac{2\sqrt{k}}{1+k}) = \frac{\tau}{2} \tag{47}$$

where $\tau \in \mathbb{H}/\Gamma$ is the complex structure modulus of elliptic curves. Then the above Schwarzian equation is expressed as

$$\{\tau, k\} = \{\frac{\tau}{2}, k\} = \frac{(1+k^2)^2}{2k^2(1-k^2)^2} \tag{48}$$

So by the inverse formula,

$$\{k, \tau\} = -k'(\tau)^2\{\tau, k\} = -\frac{k'^2(1+k^2)^2}{2k^2(1-k^2)^2} \tag{49}$$

On the left hand side, we replace $k = (4-E)/(4+E)$ by E since the Schwarzian derivative is $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariant, on the right hand side, we change the variable by $\lambda(\tau) = k^2$.

$$\{E, \tau\} = -\frac{(1+\lambda)^2}{8\lambda^2(1-\lambda)^2}\left(\frac{d\lambda}{d\tau}\right)^2 = \frac{\pi^2(1+\lambda)^2}{8\lambda^2(1-\lambda)^2}\left(\mathfrak{q}\frac{d\lambda}{d\mathfrak{q}}\right)^2 \tag{50}$$

where $\mathfrak{q} = e^{i\pi\tau}$ is the nome. □

3.3 Energy level and q-expansion

In this subsection, we derive a q-expansion for the energy level based on the Frobenius method on Picard-Fuchs equations.

The Picard-Fuchs equation (29) is equivalent to

$$\frac{d^2D}{dk^2} + \frac{1}{k}\frac{1-2k-k^2}{1-k^2}\frac{dD}{dk} + \frac{1}{k^2}\frac{-k}{(1+k)^2}D = 0. \tag{51}$$

which has a regular singularity at $k = 0$. We want to find out two linearly independent solutions around 0. If we set

$$p(x) = \frac{1-2k-k^2}{1-k^2}, \quad q(x) = \frac{-k}{(1+k)^2} \tag{52}$$

then the indicial equation is given by

$$r(r-1) + rp(0) + q(0) = r^2 = 0. \tag{53}$$

It has repeated roots $r = 0$, which means the local solutions are given by

$$D_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n k^n, \quad D_2 = D_1 ln\, k + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n k^n \tag{54}$$

by the Fuchs's theorem.

Lemma 3.6. *Under the normalization $D_1(0) = 1$, the local solutions are*

$$\begin{array}{l} D_1 = 1 + k + \frac{1}{4}k^2 + \frac{1}{4}k^3 + \frac{9}{64}k^4 + \frac{9}{64}k^5 + \frac{25}{256}k^6 + \frac{25}{256}k^7 + \cdots \\ D_2 = D_1 ln\, k + \frac{1}{4}k^2 + \frac{1}{4}k^3 + \frac{21}{128}k^4 + \frac{21}{128}k^5 + \frac{185}{1536}k^6 + \frac{185}{1536}k^7 + \cdots \end{array} \tag{55}$$

Proof.

$$D_1' = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n d_n\, k^{n-1}, \quad D_1'' = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) d_n\, k^{n-2},$$

Plug into the Picard-Fuchs equation, we get

$$\begin{array}{c} \sum_{n=0} d_n(k^{n+1} - k^n) + \sum_{n=1} n d_n(-k^{n+2} - 3k^{n+1} - k^n + k^{n-1}) + \\ \sum_{n=2} n(n-1) d_n(-k^{n+2} - k^{n+1} + k^n + k^{n-1}) \end{array}$$

It is equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{c} d_1 - d_0 + (d_0 - d_1)k - 3d_1k^2 - d_1k^3 + \\ \sum_{n=1}[(n+1)^2 d_{n+1} - d_n]k^n + [(n^2-1)d_{n+1} + d_n]k^{n+1} + \\ \sum_{n=1} -(n+3)(n+1)d_{n+1}k^{n+2} - (n+1)^2 d_{n+1}k^{n+3} \end{array}$$

From this, we have

$$\begin{array}{c} d_1 = d_0, \quad d_2 = \frac{1}{4}d_0, \quad d_3 = \frac{1}{4}d_0, \\ (n+1)^2 d_{n+1} = [1 + 2n - n^2]d_n + (n^2 - 2)d_{n-1} + (n-2)^2 d_{n-2} \end{array}$$

It turns out that

$$d_{2l} = d_{2l+1} = \left[\frac{(2l-1)!!}{(2l)!!}\right]^2 \cdot d_0 \tag{56}$$

If we apply the normalization so that $D_1(0) = 1$, then $d_0 = 1$.

Then we look at $D_2 = D_1 ln\, k + \sum_{n=1} c_n k^n$,

$$\begin{array}{l} D_2' = D_1' ln\, k + \frac{1}{k}D_1 + \sum_{n=1} n c_n\, k^{n-1}, \\ D_2'' = D_1'' ln\, k + \frac{2}{k}D_1' - \frac{1}{k^2}D_1 + \sum_{n=2} n(n-1) c_n\, k^{n-2} \end{array}$$

Plug into the Picard-Fuchs equation,

$$\begin{array}{c} k(1-k)(1+k)^2[D_1'' ln\, k + \frac{2}{k}D_1' - \frac{1}{k^2}D_1 + \sum_{n=2} n(n-1)c_n\, k^{n-2}] + \\ (1 - 2k - k^2)(1+k)[D_1' lnk + \frac{1}{k}D_1 + \sum_{n=1} n c_n\, k^{n-1}] + \\ (k-1)[D_1 lnk + \sum_{n=1} c_n\, k^n] \end{array}$$

Cancel the terms with lnk since D_1 satisfies the Picard-Fuchs equation, the other terms can be used to determine c_n.

$$\begin{aligned}&2(1-k)(1+k)^2D_1' + \tfrac{(k-1)(1+k)^2}{k}D_1 + \tfrac{(1-2k-k^2)(1+k)}{k}D_1 + \\ &(k-1)\textstyle\sum_{n=1} c_n\, k^n + (1-2k-k^2)(1+k)\sum_{n=1} nc_n\, k^{n-1} + \\ &k(1-k)(1+k)^2 \textstyle\sum_{n=2} n(n-1)c_n\, k^{n-2}\end{aligned}$$

Use the power series of D_1, this can be reduced to

$$\begin{aligned}&\textstyle\sum_{n=0}(2(n+1)d_{n+1} - 2d_n + (n+1)c_{n+1})k^n + \\ &(2(n+1)d_{n+1} - 2d_n + (n+1)(n+2)c_{n+2} - (n+2)c_{n+1})k^{n+1} + \\ &(-2(n+1)d_{n+1} + (n+1)(n+2)c_{n+2} - (3n+2)c_{n+1})k^{n+2} + \\ &(-2(n+1)d_{n+1} - (n+1)(n+2)c_{n+2} - (n+1)c_{n+1})k^{n+3} + \\ &-(n+1)(n+2)c_{n+2}k^{n+4}\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 = 0, \quad c_2 = \tfrac{1}{4}, \quad c_3 = \tfrac{1}{4}, \\ (n+1)^2c_{n+1} = (1+2n-n^2)c_n + (n^2-2)c_{n-1} + (n-2)^2c_{n-2} \\ -2(n+1)d_{n+1} - 2(n-1)d_n + 2nd_{n-1} + 2(n-2)d_{n-2}\end{aligned}$$

□

If we do the long division, then

$$\frac{\sum_{n=2} c_n k^n}{\sum_{n=0} d_n k^n} = \frac{1}{4}k^2 + \frac{13}{128}k^4 + \frac{23}{384}k^6 + \cdots \tag{57}$$

Exponentiate the above quotient, we have

$$exp(\sum_{n=2} c_n k^n / \sum_{n=0} d_n k^n) = 1 + \frac{1}{4}k^2 + \frac{17}{128}k^4 + \frac{45}{512}k^6 + \cdots \tag{58}$$

Theorem 3.7. *The energy level has a q-expansion*

$$E = 4 - 8q + 8q^2 - 6q^3 + 4q^4 - \frac{39}{16}q^5 + \frac{11}{8}q^6 - \cdots \tag{59}$$

where $q = e^{D_2/D_1}$.

Proof. Set $t = D_2/D_1$, we have the local coordinate $q = e^t$ as a power series

$$q = k \cdot exp(\sum_{n=2} c_n k^n / \sum_{n=0} d_n k^n) = k + \frac{1}{4}k^3 + \frac{17}{128}k^5 + \frac{45}{512}k^7 + \cdots \tag{60}$$

This is the mirror map $q = q(k)$, its inverse is given by

$$k = q - \frac{1}{4}q^3 + \frac{7}{128}q^5 + \cdots \tag{61}$$

Since the elliptic modulus k is defined by $k = \frac{4-E}{4+E}$, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} E = 4\tfrac{1-k}{1+k} = \; & 4\frac{1-q+\frac{1}{4}q^3-\frac{7}{128}q^5+\cdots}{1+q-\frac{1}{4}q^3+\frac{7}{128}q^5+\cdots} \\ & = 4(1 - 2q + 2q^2 - \tfrac{3}{2}q^3 + q^4 - \tfrac{39}{64}q^5 + \tfrac{11}{32}q^6 + \cdots) \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

□

Thus, we see that the behavior of the map $E(\tau)$ and its q-expansion $E(q)$ discussed above have a close similarity to the properties of the mirror map.

References

[1] A. Beauville, *Les familles stables de courbes elliptiques sur* $\mathbb{P}^1$ *admettant quatre fibres singulières*, Comptes Rendus Acad. Sc. Paris **294** (1982), 657–660.

[2] P. Candelas, X. de la Ossa, P. Green, and L. Parkes, *A pair of calabi-yau manifolds as an exactly soluble superconformal theory*, Nuclear Phys. B. **359** (1991), 21–74.

[3] J. Carlson, S. Müller-Stach, and C. Peters, *Period mappings and period domains*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.

[4] D. Cox, *Mirror symmetry and algebraic geometry*, American Mathematical Society, 1999.

[5] D. Gieseker, H. Knörrer, and E. Trubowitz, *The geometry of algebraic fermi curves*, Academic Press, 1993.

[6] P. Griffiths, *Periods of integrals on algebraic manifolds: summary of main results and discussion of open problems*, Bull. AMS **75** (1970), 228–290.

[7] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Wiley-Interscience, 1994.

[8] K. Hori, S. Katz, A. Klemm, R. Pandharipande, R. Thomas, C. Vafa, R. Vakil, and E. Zaslow, *Mirror symmetry*, AMS, 2003.

[9] A. Klemm, B. Lian, S. Roan, and S.T. Yau, *A note on ODEs from mirror symmetry*, Functional analysis on the eve of the 21st century, Vol. II, Birkhäuser Boston, 1996, pp. 301–323.

[10] M. Kontsevich and D. Zagier, *Periods*, Mathematics unlimited-2001 and beyond, Springer, Berlin, 2001, pp. 771–808.

[11] D. Li, *The algebraic geometry of harper operators*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **44** (2011).

[12] B. Lian and S.T. Yau, *Arithmetic properties of mirror maps and quantum couplings*, Commun.Math.Phys. **176** (1996), 163–192.

[13] V. Vassiliev, *Applied picard-lefschetz theory*, American Mathematical Society, 2002.